

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350601221>

# Application in Decision Making Based on Fuzzy Parameterized Hypersoft Set Theory

Article · April 2021

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4721481

CITATIONS

0

READS

41

3 authors:



**Atiqe Ur Rahman**

University of Management and Technology (Pakistan)

26 PUBLICATIONS 6 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**Muhammad Saeed**

University of Management and Technology (Pakistan)

107 PUBLICATIONS 490 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**Saba Zahid**

University of Management and Technology (Pakistan)

1 PUBLICATION 0 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Topological Invariant and bounds of graphs [View project](#)



Neutrosophic Measure Theory [View project](#)



## Application in decision making based on fuzzy parameterized hypersoft set theory

Atiqe Ur Rahman<sup>1\*</sup>, Muhammad Saeed<sup>2</sup>, Saba Zahid<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Management and Technology,  
Lahore, Pakistan.

Orchid iD: [0000-0001-6320-9221](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6320-9221)<sup>1</sup>, [0000-0002-7284-6908](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7284-6908)<sup>2</sup>, [0000-0003-1137-0608](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1137-0608)<sup>3</sup>

Received: 06 Jan 2021

Accepted: 08 Mar 2021

Published Online: 26 Apr 2021

**Abstract:** Hypersoft set is the generalization of soft set as it converts single attribute function to multi-attribute function. The core purpose of this study is to make the existing literature regarding fuzzy parameterized soft set in line with the need of multi-attribute function. We first conceptualize the fuzzy parameterized hypersoft set along with some of its fundamentals. Then we propose decision making based algorithm with the help of this theory. Moreover, an illustrative example is presented which depicts its validity for successful application to the problems involving vagueness and uncertainties.

**Key words:** Soft set, fuzzy soft set, fuzzy parameterized soft set, hypersoft set.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 03E72, 03E75.

### 1. Introduction

In 1965, Zadeh [1] conceptualized the theory of fuzzy sets as mathematical mean to tackle many intricate problems involving various uncertainties, in different fields of mathematical sciences. But it has its own complexities which restrain it to solve these problems successfully. The reason for these hurdles is, possibly, the inadequacy of the parametrization tool. A mathematical tool is needed for dealing with uncertainties which should be free of all such impediments. In 1999, Molodtsov [2] has the honor to introduce such mathematical tool called soft sets in literature as a new parameterized family of subsets of the universe of discourse. Maji et al. [3] extended the concept and introduced some fundamental terminologies and operations of soft set. They also defined fuzzy soft set in [4] and successfully applied it in decision making. Some authors [5–11] discussed some more properties and relational features of soft set theory. Many researchers [12–24] developed certain hybrids with soft sets to get more generalized results for implementation in decision making and other related disciplines. Çağman et al. [25, 26] conceptualized fuzzy parameterized soft set which is the ordered pair of membership function of fuzzy set and approximate function of fuzzy soft. They discussed its properties and applied this theory to different fields.

In 2018, Smarandache [27] introduced the concept of hypersoft set as a generalization of soft set. Saeed et al. [28, 29] and Mujahid et al. [30] discussed some of its fundamentals and basic operations. Rahman et al. [35, 36] applied this concept in complex and convex set theories.

In many real life situations, we have disjoint attribute-valued sets corresponding to distinct attributes. The existing soft set theory doesn't deal such sets; therefore hypersoft set theory is conceptualized to tackle such situations. Motivating from the work of [25, 27, 30], fuzzy parameterized hypersoft set is characterized in

order to adequate the literature regarding fuzzy parameterized soft set for multi attribute-valued functions and its some essential elementary properties are discussed. A decision making based algorithm is proposed with successful application for the best choice of product.

## 2. Preliminaries

Here some basic terms are recalled from existing literature to support the proposed work. Throughout the paper,  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $P(\mathcal{U})$  and  $I^\bullet$  will denote the universe of discourse, power set of  $\mathcal{U}$  and closed unit interval respectively.

### Definition 2.1. [1]

A fuzzy set  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  defined as  $\mathcal{X} = \{(\epsilon, \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(\epsilon)) | \epsilon \in \mathcal{U}\}$  such that  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow I^\bullet$  where  $\zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(\epsilon)$  denotes the belonging value of  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{X}$ .

### Definition 2.2. [2]

A pair  $(\zeta_S, \Lambda)$  is called a *soft set* over  $\mathcal{U}$ , where  $\zeta_S : \Lambda \rightarrow P(\mathcal{U})$  and  $\Lambda$  be a set of attributes.

### Definition 2.3. [3]

A soft set  $(\zeta_{S_1}, \Lambda_1)$  is a *soft subset* of another soft set  $(\zeta_{S_2}, \Lambda_2)$  if

- i  $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2$ , and
- ii  $\forall \omega \in \Lambda_1, \zeta_{S_1}(\omega)$  and  $\zeta_{S_2}(\omega)$  are identical approximations.

For more detail on soft set, see [2–11]

### Definition 2.4. [25]

The pair  $(\Psi, G)$  is called a *hypersoft set* over  $\mathcal{U}$ , where  $G$  is the cartesian product of  $n$  disjoint sets  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots, G_n$  having attribute values of  $n$  distinct attributes  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_n$  respectively and  $\Psi : G \rightarrow P(\mathcal{U})$ .

For more definitions and operations of hypersoft set, see [25–28, 30–36].

## 3. Fuzzy Parameterized Hypersoft Set (*fphs-set*) with Application

In this section, fuzzy parameterized hypersoft set is conceptualized and some of its fundamentals are discussed.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n\}$  be a collection of disjoint attribute-valued sets corresponding to  $n$  distinct attributes  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n$  respectively. An FP-hypersoft set (*fphs-set*)  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  over  $\mathcal{U}$  is defined as

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} = \{(\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g)/g, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g)) : g \in G, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g) \in P(\mathcal{U}), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g) \in I^\bullet\}$$

where

- (i)  $G = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_3 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F}$  is a fuzzy set over  $G$  with  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}} : G \rightarrow I^\bullet$  as membership function of *fphs-set*.
- (iii)  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}} : G \rightarrow P(\mathcal{U})$  is called approximate function of *fphs-set*.

Now throughout the remaining part of the paper,  $\Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$ ,  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  will represent the collection of all *fphs-sets* over  $\mathcal{U}$ , membership function and approximate function respectively.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$ . If  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g) = \phi$  for all  $g \in G$ , then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -empty *fphs*-set, denoted by  $\Psi_{\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}}$ . If  $\mathcal{F} = \phi$ , then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is called an empty *fphs*-set, denoted by  $\Psi_{\Phi}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a crisp subset of  $G$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g) = \mathcal{U}$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is called  $\mathcal{F}$ -universal *fphs*-set, denoted by  $\Psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ . If  $\mathcal{F} = G$ , then the  $\mathcal{F}$ -universal *fphs*-set is called universal *fphs*-set, denoted by  $\Psi_{\tilde{G}}$ .

**Example 3.1.** Consider  $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3\}$  with  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{a_{11}, a_{12}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{a_{21}, a_{22}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_3 = \{a_{31}\}$ , then

$$G = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_3$$

$$G = \{(a_{11}, a_{21}, a_{31}), (a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{31}), (a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{31}), (a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{31})\} = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}.$$

Case 1.

If  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{0.2/g_2, 0.5/g_3, 1.0/g_4\}$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g_2) = \{u_2, u_4\}$ ,  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g_3) = \phi$ , and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g_4) = \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \{(0.2/g_2, \{u_2, u_4\}), (0.5/g_3, \phi), (1.0/g_4, \mathcal{U})\}$ .

Case 2.

If  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{0.3/g_2, 0.7/g_3\}$ ,  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g_2) = \phi$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g_3) = \phi$ , then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} = \Psi_{\phi_{\mathcal{F}_2}}$ .

Case 3.

If  $\mathcal{F}_3 = \phi$ , then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} = \Psi_{\phi}$ .

Case 4.

If  $\mathcal{F}_4 = \{1.0/g_1, 1.0/g_2\}$ ,  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_4}(g_1) = \mathcal{U}$ , and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_4}(g_2) = \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_4} = \Psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_4}$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$  is a *fphs*-subset of  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ , denoted by  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$  if  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \leq \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \subseteq \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then

1.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\tilde{G}}$ .
2.  $\Psi_{\phi} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ .
3.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ .
4.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} \Rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3}$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then,  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$  are *fphs*-equal, represented as  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ , iff  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(x) = \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}(x)$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) = \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then,

1. If  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} \Rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3}$ .
2.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tilde{\subseteq} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \Leftrightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then, complement of  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  (i.e.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^{\tilde{c}}$ ) is an *fphs*-set given as  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}^{\tilde{c}}(g) = 1 - \zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g)$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}^{\tilde{c}}(g) = \mathcal{U} \setminus \psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g)$

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then,

1.  $(\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^{\tilde{c}})^{\tilde{c}} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

$$2. \Psi_{\Phi}^{\tilde{c}} = \Psi_{\tilde{G}}.$$

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then, union of  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ , denoted by  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ , is defined by

1.  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2}(g) = \max\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)\}$  and
2.  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2}(g) = \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \cup \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)$ , for all  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then,

1.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ .
2.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\Phi} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ .
3.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\tilde{G}} = \Psi_{\tilde{G}}$ .
4.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ .
5.  $(\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}) \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} (\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3})$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then intersection of  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ , denoted by  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ , is an *fphs*-set defined by  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_2}(g) = \min\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)\}$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_2}(g) = \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \cap \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then

1.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ .
2.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\Phi} = \Psi_{\Phi}$ .
3.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\tilde{G}} = \Psi_{\tilde{G}}$ .
4.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ .
5.  $(\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}) \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} (\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3})$ .

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$ . If  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \neq \Psi_{\tilde{G}}$ , then  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^{\tilde{c}} \neq \Psi_{\tilde{G}}$  and  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^{\tilde{c}} \neq \Psi_{\Phi}$

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  D'Morgan's laws are valid

1.  $(\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2})^{\tilde{c}} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}^{\tilde{c}}$ .
2.  $(\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2})^{\tilde{c}} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}^{\tilde{c}}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $g \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1). \text{ Since } (\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2})^{\tilde{c}}(g) &= 1 - \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2}(g) \\ &= 1 - \max\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)\} \\ &= \min\{1 - \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g), 1 - \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)\} \\ &= \min\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{\tilde{c}}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}^{\tilde{c}}(g)\} \\ &= \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_2^{\tilde{c}}}(g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2})^{\tilde{c}}(g) = \mathcal{U} \setminus \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2}(g)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathcal{U} \setminus (\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \cup \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)) \\
 &= (\mathcal{U} \setminus \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g)) \cap (\mathcal{U} \setminus \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)) \\
 &= \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1^c}(g) \tilde{\cap} \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2^c}(g) \\
 &= \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1^c \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_2^c}(g).
 \end{aligned}$$

similarly (2) can be proved easily.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then

1.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} (\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3}) = (\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}) \tilde{\cap} (\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3}).$
2.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} (\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2} \tilde{\cup} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3}) = (\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}) \tilde{\cup} (\Psi_{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{\cap} \Psi_{\mathcal{F}_3}).$

*Proof.* For all  $g \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1). \text{ Since } \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} (\mathcal{F}_2 \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_3)}(g) &= \max\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2 \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_3}(g)\} \\
 &= \max\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g), \min\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_3}(g)\}\} \\
 &= \min\{\max\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)\}, \max\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_3}(g)\}\} \\
 &= \min\{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2}(g), \zeta_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_3}(g)\} \\
 &= \zeta_{(\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2) \tilde{\cap} (\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_3)}(g)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} (\mathcal{F}_2 \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_3)}(g) &= \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \cup \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2 \tilde{\cap} \mathcal{F}_3}(g) \\
 &= \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \cup (\psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g) \cap \psi_{\mathcal{F}_3}(g)) \\
 &= (\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \cup \psi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(g)) \cap (\psi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(g) \cup \psi_{\mathcal{F}_3}(g)) \\
 &= \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2}(g) \cap \psi_{\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_3}(g) \\
 &= \psi_{(\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_2) \tilde{\cap} (\mathcal{F}_1 \tilde{\cup} \mathcal{F}_3)}(g)
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, (2) can be proved.  $\square$

#### 4. Fuzzy Decision Set of *fphs*-set

Here novel algorithm is proposed with the help of characterization of fuzzy decision set on *fphs*-set which based on decision making technique and is explained with example.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_{FPHS}(\mathcal{U})$  then a fuzzy decision set of  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  (i.e.  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D$ ) is represented as

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D = \{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}^D(u)/u : u \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

where  $\zeta_{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow I^\bullet$  and

$$\zeta_{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D}(u) = \frac{1}{|S(\mathcal{F})|} \sum_{v \in S(\mathcal{F})} \zeta_{\Psi}(v) \Gamma_{\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(v)}(u)$$

where  $S(\mathcal{F})$  is the support set of  $\mathcal{F}$  with

$$\Gamma_{\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(v)}(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; u \in \Gamma_{\psi_{\mathcal{F}}}(v) \\ 0 & ; u \notin \Gamma_{\psi_{\mathcal{F}}}(v) \end{cases}$$

#### 4.1. Proposed Algorithm

Once  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D$  has been established, it may be indispensable to select the best single substitute from the options. Therefore, decision can be set up with the help of following algorithm.

Step 1 Determine  $\mathcal{F} = \{\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g)/g : \zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g) \in I^{\bullet}, g \in G\}$ ,

Step 2 Find  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g)$

Step 3 Construct  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  over  $\mathcal{U}$ ,

Step 4 Compute  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D$ ,

Step 5 Choose the maximum of  $\zeta_{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D}(u)$ .

**Example 4.1.** Suppose that Mr. James Peter wants to buy a mobile from a mobile market. There are eight kinds of mobiles (options) which form the set of discourse  $\mathcal{U} = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5, m_6, m_7, m_8\}$ . The best selection may be evaluated by observing the attributes i.e.  $a_1 = \text{Company}$ ,  $a_2 = \text{Camera Resolution}$ ,  $a_3 = \text{Size}$ ,  $a_4 = \text{RAM}$ , and  $a_5 = \text{Battery power}$ . The attribute-valued sets corresponding to these attributes are:

$$B_1 = \{b_{11}, b_{12}\}$$

$$B_2 = \{b_{21}, b_{22}\}$$

$$B_3 = \{b_{31}, b_{32}\}$$

$$B_4 = \{b_{41}, b_{42}\}$$

$$B_5 = \{b_{51}\}$$

then  $G = B_1 \times B_2 \times B_3 \times B_4 \times B_5$

$G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \dots, g_{16}\}$  where each  $g_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 16$ , is a 5-tuples element.

Step 1 :

From table 1, we can construct  $\mathcal{F}$  as

**Table 1.** Degrees of Membership  $\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_i)$

$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_i)$	Degree	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_i)$	Degree
$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_1)$	0.1	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_9)$	0.9
$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_2)$	0.2	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_{10})$	0.16
$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_3)$	0.3	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_{11})$	0.25
$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_4)$	0.4	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_{12})$	0.45
$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_5)$	0.5	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_{13})$	0.35
$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_6)$	0.6	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_{14})$	0.75
$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_7)$	0.7	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_{15})$	0.65
$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_8)$	0.8	$\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(g_{16})$	0.85

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0.1/g_1, 0.2/g_2, 0.3/g_3, 0.4/g_4, 0.5/g_5, 0.6/g_6, \\ 0.7/g_7, 0.8/g_8, 0.9/g_9, 0.16/g_{10}, 0.25/g_{11}, \\ 0.45/g_{12}, 0.35/g_{13}, 0.75/g_{14}, 0.65/g_{15}, 0.85/g_{16} \end{array} \right\}$$

Step 2 :

Table 2 presents  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g_i)$  corresponding to each element of  $G$ .

Step 3 :

With the help of tables 1 and 2, we can construct  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  as

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (0.1/g_1, \{m_1, m_2\}), (0.2/g_2, \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}), (0.3/g_3, \{m_2, m_3, m_4\}), (0.4/g_4, \{m_4, m_5, m_6\}), \\ (0.5/g_5, \{m_6, m_7, m_8\}), (0.6/g_6, \{m_2, m_3, m_4, m_7\}), (0.7/g_7, \{m_1, m_3, m_5, m_6\}), \\ (0.8/g_8, \{m_2, m_3, m_6, m_7\}), (0.9/g_9, \{m_2, m_3, m_6, m_7, m_8\}), (0.16/g_{10}, \{m_1, m_3, m_6, m_7, m_8\}), \\ (0.25/g_{11}, \{m_2, m_4, m_6, m_7, m_8\}), (0.45/g_{12}, \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_6, m_7, m_8\}), \\ (0.35/g_{13}, \{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_7, m_8\}), (0.75/g_{14}, \{m_1, m_3, m_5, m_7, m_8\}), \\ (0.65/g_{15}, \{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_5, m_7, m_8\}), (0.85/g_{16}, \{m_4, m_5, m_6, m_7, m_8\}) \end{array} \right\}$$

Step 4 :

**Table 2.** Approximate functions  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g_i)$

$g_i$	$\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g_i)$	$g_i$	$\psi_{\mathcal{F}}(g_i)$
$g_1$	$\{m_1, m_2\}$	$g_9$	$\{m_2, m_3, m_6, m_7, m_8\}$
$g_2$	$\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$	$g_{10}$	$\{m_1, m_3, m_6, m_7, m_8\}$
$g_3$	$\{m_2, m_3, m_4\}$	$g_{11}$	$\{m_2, m_4, m_6, m_7, m_8\}$
$g_4$	$\{m_4, m_5, m_6\}$	$g_{12}$	$\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_6, m_7, m_8\}$
$g_5$	$\{m_6, m_7, m_8\}$	$g_{13}$	$\{m_2, m_3, m_5, m_7, m_8\}$
$g_6$	$\{m_2, m_3, m_4, m_7\}$	$g_{14}$	$\{m_1, m_3, m_5, m_7, m_8\}$
$g_7$	$\{m_1, m_3, m_5, m_6\}$	$g_{15}$	$\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_5, m_7, m_8\}$
$g_8$	$\{m_2, m_3, m_6, m_7\}$	$g_{16}$	$\{m_4, m_5, m_6, m_7, m_8\}$

**Table 3.** Membership values  $\zeta_{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D}(m_i)$

$m_i$	$\zeta_{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D}(m_i)$	$m_i$	$\zeta_{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D}(m_i)$
$m_1$	0.19	$m_5$	0.23
$m_2$	0.29	$m_6$	0.31
$m_3$	0.37	$m_7$	0.39
$m_4$	0.15	$m_8$	0.30

From table 3, we can construct  $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D$  as

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D = \{0.19/m_1, 0.29/m_2, 0.37/m_3, 0.15/m_4, 0.23/m_5, 0.31/m_6, 0.39/m_7, 0.30/m_8\}$$

Step 5 :

Since maximum of  $\zeta_{\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^D}(m_i)$  is 0.39 so the mobile  $m_7$  is selected.

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, fuzzy parameterized hypersoft set is conceptualized along with some of elementary properties and theoretic operations. A novel algorithm is proposed for decision making and is validated with the help of an illustrative example for best purchasing of mobile from mobile market. Future work may include the extension of this work for other fuzzy-like environments and the implementation for solving more real life problems in decision making.

## References

- [1] Zadeh, L. (1965). Fuzzy sets. Information and control, 8(3), 338-353.

- [2] Molodtsov, D. (1999). Soft Set Theory - First Results. *Comput Math with Appl.*, 37, 19-31.
- [3] Maji. P.K., Biswas R. and Roy, A. R. (2003). Soft Set Theory. *Comput Math with Appl.*, 45, 555-562.
- [4] Maji, P. K., Biswas, R. and Roy, A. R. (2001). Fuzzy soft sets. *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 9(3), 589–602.
- [5] Pei, D. and Miao, D. (2005). From soft set to information system. In *international conference of granular computing IEEE*, 2, 617-621.
- [6] Ali, M. I., Feng, F., Liu, X., Min, W. K. and Sabir, M. (2009). On some new operations in soft set theory. *Comput Math with Appl.*, 57, 1547-1553.
- [7] Babitha, K. V. and Sunil, J. J., (2010). Soft set relations and functions. *Comput Math with Appl.*, 60, 1840-1849.
- [8] Babitha, K. V. and Sunil, J. J., (2011). Transitive closure and ordering in soft set. *Comput Math with Appl.*, 61, 2235-2239.
- [9] Sezgin, A. and Atagün A. O., (2011). On operations of soft sets. *Comput Math with Appl.*, 61(5), 1457-1467.
- [10] Ge, X. and Yang, S. (2011). Investigations on some operations of soft sets. *World Academy of Science Engineering and Technology*, 75, 1113-1116.
- [11] Li, F. (2011). Notes on soft set operations. *ARNP Journal of systems and softwares*, 205-208.
- [12] Khalid, A. and Abbas, M. (2005). Distance measures and operations in intuitionistic and interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft set theory. *Int J Fuzzy Syst.*, 17(3), 490-497.
- [13] Hassan, N., Sayed, O. R., Khalil, A. M. and Ghany, M. A. (2017). Fuzzy soft expert system in prediction of coronary artery disease. *Int J Fuzzy Syst.*, 19(5), 1546-1559.
- [14] Feng, F., Li, C., Davvaz, B. and Ali, M. I. (2010). Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: a tentative approach. *Soft Computing*, 14(9), 899-911.
- [15] Guan, X., Li, Y. and Feng, F. (2013). A new order relation on fuzzy soft sets and its application. *Soft Computing*, 17(1), 63-70.
- [16] Khameneh, A. Z. and Kilicman, A. (2018). Parameter reduction of fuzzy soft sets: An adjustable approach based on the three-way decision. *Int J Fuzzy Syst.*, 20(3), 928-942.
- [17] Zhan, J. and Zhu, K. (2017). A novel soft rough fuzzy set: Z-soft rough fuzzy ideals of hemirings and corresponding decision making. *Soft Computing*, 21(8), 1923-1936.
- [18] Paik, B. and Mondal, S. K. (2020). A distance-similarity method to solve fuzzy sets and fuzzy soft sets based decision-making problems. *Soft Computing*, 24(7), 5217-5229.
- [19] Akram, M., Ali, G. and Alcantud, J. C. R. (2019). New decision-making hybrid model: intuitionistic fuzzy N-soft rough sets. *Soft Computing*, 23(20), 9853-9868.
- [20] Zhang, J., Wu, X. and Lu, R. (2020). Decision Analysis Methods Combining Quantitative Logic and Fuzzy Soft Sets. *Int J Fuzzy Syst.*, 1-14.
- [21] Alshehri, H. A., Abujabal, H. A. and Alshehri, N. O. (2018). New types of hesitant fuzzy soft ideals in BCK-algebras. *Soft Computing*, 22(11), 3675-3683.
- [22] Khalaf, M. M. (2020). Modeling treatments prediction of coronary artery based on fuzzy soft expert system, *Asia Mathematika*, 4(2), 1-108.
- [23] Salama, A. A., Hanafy, I. M. and Dabash, M. S. (2018). Semi-Compact and Semi-Lindelöf Spaces via Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory, *Asia Mathematika*, 2(2), 41-48.
- [24] Martin, N., Hanafy, I. M. and Dabash, M. S. (2018). Ranking of the factors influencing consumer behaviour using Fuzzy Cognitive Maps, *Asia Mathematika*, 2(3), 14-18.
- [25] Çağman, N., Çitak, F., and Enginoğlu, S. (2010). Fuzzy parameterized fuzzy soft set theory and its applications. *Turkish Journal of Fuzzy System*, 1(1), 21-35.

- [26] Enginoğlu, S. and Çağman, N. (2020). Fuzzy parameterized fuzzy soft matrices and their application in decision-making. *TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics*, 10(4), 1105-1115.
- [27] Smarandache, F. (2018). Extension of Soft Set of Hypersoft Set, and then to Plithogenic Hypersoft Set, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 22, 168-170.
- [28] Saeed, M., Ahsan, M., Siddique, M.k. and Ahmad, M.R. (2020). A Study of the Fundamentals of Hypersoft Set Theory, *International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 11.
- [29] Saeed, M., Rahman, A. U., Ahsan, M. and Smarandache, F. (2020). An Inclusive Study on Fundamentals of Hypersoft Set, *Theory and Application of Hypersoft Set*, 1.
- [30] Abbas, F., Murtaza, G. and Smarandache, F. (2020). Basic operations on hypersoft sets and hypersoft points, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 35, 407-421.
- [31] Saqlain, M., Jafar, N., Moin, S., Saeed, M. and Broumi, S. (2020). Single and Multi-valued Neutrosophic Hypersoft Set and Tangent Similarity Measure of Single valued Neutrosophic Hypersoft Sets, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 32(1), 20.
- [32] Saqlain, M., Moin, S., Jafar, N., Saeed, M. and Smarandache, F. (2020). Aggregate Operators of Neutrosophic Hypersoft Sets, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 32(1), 18.
- [33] Saqlain, M., Saeed, M., Ahmad, M.R. and Smarandache, F. (2020). Generalization of TOPSIS for Neutrosophic Hypersoft Sets using Accuracy Function and its Application, *Neutrosophics Sets and Syst.*, 27(1), 12.
- [34] Martin, N. and Smarandache, F. (2020). Concentric Plithogenic Hypergraph based on Plithogenic Hypersoft Sets A Novel Outlook, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, 33(1), 5.
- [35] Rahman, A.U., Saeed, M., Smarandache, F. and Ahmad, M.R. (2020). Development of Hybrids of Hypersoft Sets with Complex Fuzzy Sets and Syst., 38, 335-354.
- [36] Rahman, A.U., Saeed, M. and Smarandache, F. (2020). Convex and Concave Hypersoft Sets with Some Properties, *Neutrosophic Sets and Syst.*, 38, 497-508.